# 

1 2	LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney						
	THOMAS B. REEVE, JŔ. Assistant United States Attorney California State Bar No. 069310						
3	l Federal Office Building						
4	Room 6293, at 880 Front Street San Diego, California 92101-8893 Facsimile: (619) 557-5004						
5	Facsimile: (619) 557-5004 Telephone: (619) 557-7159						
6	Tom.Reeve@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States Postal Service						
7	According short the officed states Postal Service						
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
9	SOUTHERN DISTR	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA    Civil No					
10	MARCO MARTINEZ,	Civil No					
11	Plaintiff,						
12	V.						
13	CITY OF SAN DIEGO; COUNTY OF	NOTICE OF REMOVAL TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
14	SAN DIEGO; CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH; and	(28 U.S.C. §§ 1441, 1442, 2679(b)(1))					
15	DOES 1 to 20, inclusive,						
16	Defendants.						
17	CITY OF IMPERIAL BEACH,	Formerly Superior Court case no. 37-2010-00076963-CU-					
18	Cross-Complainant,	PO-SC; Hon. William S. Cannon; Dept. S-04					
19	V						
20	UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE, and						
21	ROES 1-20,						
22	Cross-Defendant.						
23							
24	COMES NOW the Cross-Defendant, the United States Postal Service, and through the						
25	United States Attorney for the Southern District of California, Laura E. Duffy, with Thomas B.						
	Reeve, Jr., Assistant U. S. Attorney, respectfully notices the removal of the above-captioned						
26	matter to United States District Court for t	he Southern District of California, pursuant to					

-1-

28 U.S.C. §§ 1442, etc.

28

- 1. A federal agency, the United States Postal Service has been named as a Cross-Defendant in the above-captioned case now pending in the Superior Court of California, San Diego County, case no. 37-2010-00076963-CU-PO-SC, and no trial has yet been had therein.
- 2. It does not appear that service under Fed. R. Civ. P. § 4(i) has been completed upon the United States Postal Service. However, the United States Attorney is aware of the action.
- 3. Removal of this case is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. § 1442, as the Cross-Defendant, United States Postal Service, is an agency of the United States.
- 4. Section 1442 states, in part:
  - (a) A civil action ... commenced in state court against any of the following may be removed by them to the district court of the United States for the district and division embracing the place wherein it is pending ... (1) The United States or any agency thereof ....

28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1).

- 5. This action is properly removable to this Court, as the Southern District of California embraces the place in which the above-captioned civil action is pending and it also appears to be the district within which the underlying events occurred.
- 6. A further basis for removal is that the claims appear to be for monetary recovery arising from an alleged personal injury. As such, this matter that can be brought, if at all, only upon compliance with and under the provisions of the Federal Tort Claims Act (28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b), 2671-2680) ("FTCA").
- 7. United States District Courts have exclusive jurisdiction over FTCA litigation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1346(b)(1) & 2679(b)(1).

the district courts ... shall have exclusive jurisdiction of civil actions on claims against the United States for money damages ... for ... personal injury

28 U.S.C. 1346(b)(1).

(b)(1) The remedy against the United States ... for injury or loss ... arising or resulting from the negligent or wrongful act or omission of any employee of the Government ... is exclusive of any other civil action or proceeding for money damages .... Any other civil action ... is precluded

28 U.S.C. § 2679(b)(1).

#### 

- 8. It is anticipated that the Cross-Defendant may raise several defenses to the claims in the complaint, including: (1) that the indemnity action is premature and there is no Article III (case or controversy) jurisdiction for it to now be heard, (2) That FTCA is a limited and conditional waiver of sovereign immunity and failure to fully satisfy and comply with the FTCA results in a lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and (3) that Cross-Defendant, United States Postal Service is an improper defendant under the FTCA, and (4) such other and further defenses as are determined to be appropriate after investigation of the matter.
- 9. A copy of the cross-complaint is concurrently filed as Exhibit A.
- 10. A copy of the underlying complaint is concurrently filed as Exhibit B.

Respectfully submitted,

March 17, 2011 LAURA E. DUFFY United States Attorney

/s/ Thomas B. Reeve, Jr.
THOMAS B. REEVE, JR.
Assistant U.S. Attorney
Attorneys for Defendant
United States Postal Service

## © S 44 (Rev. 12/07) Case 3:11-cv-00527-JLSFWLS CODE PRESHIEF Thed 03/17/11 Page 4 of 5

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS			
Marco Martinez			PLEASE SEE ATTACHMENT		
(b) County of Residence	e of First Listed Plaintiff  EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	·····	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorney's (Firm Nam Patrick G. Shea, Mitche	e, Address, and Telephone Number)		Attorneys (If Known)  '11 CV0527 JLS NLS		
	San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 702-6				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P (For Diversity Cases Only)	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<ul> <li>3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</li> </ul>		P1	<ul> <li>I DEF</li> <li>I I Incorporated or Pr</li> <li>of Business In Thi</li> </ul>	PTF DEF incipal Place
☑ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	<ul> <li>4 Diversity</li> <li>(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item</li> </ul>	ſ	en of Another State	2	Principal Place
			en or Subject of a	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	☐ 6 ☐ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUI					
CONTRACT  ☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits ☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 196 Franchise ☐ REAL PROPERTY ☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability ☐ 290 All Other Real Property	Slander 368 Asbestos Injury Pro Liability. Liability  340 Marine PERSONAL PR 345 Marine Product 370 Other Frat Liability 371 Truth in L 350 Motor Vehicle Property I Product Liability 385 Property I	INJURY	Other Food & Drug Other Food & Drug Other Food & Drug Deprive the Comment of the Comment Other Food & Drug Deprive the Comment Other Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other  Comment Other	322 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   420 Copyrights   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   30 Each   405 Each	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and □ Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ □ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information □ Act □ 900Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
□ 1 Original 🛭 2 Re	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from ate Court 3 Remanded from Appellate Court  Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which 28 U.S.C. Section 1441, 144	Reop	ened anothe (specif	<del>/                                    </del>	Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause:	ction against	inst the United States Postal Service		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS AC UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	CTION DE	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only I  JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:
VIII. RELATED CAS	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER	
TOR OFFICE USE ONLY	Mongart	OF ATTORNEY C	/)	start U.S. A	Morney
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT APPLYING	IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUD	GE

### **ATTACHMENT TO CIVIL COVER SHEET**

#### **PLAINTIFF**

Marco Martinez

#### **DEFENDANTS**

City of San Diego; County of San Diego; City of Imperial Beach; and Does 1 to 20; inclusive

#### **CROSS-COMPLAINANT**

City of Imperial Beach

#### **CROSS-DEFENDANT**

United States Postal Service, and Roes 1-20

#### **ATTORNEYS**

Patrick G. Shea, Michael & Shea 2368 Second Avenue, San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 702-6517 Attorney for Plaintiff Marco Martinez

Steven E. Boehmer, Esq., Carrie Mitchell, Esq., McDougal Love Eckis Boehmer & Foley, 8100 La Mesa Blvd., Suite 200, La Mesa, CA 91942 (619) 440-4444 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant City of Imperial Beach

Thomas B. Reeve, Jr., Assistant U.S. Attorney, 880 Front Street, Room 6293, San Diego, CA 92101, (619) 557-7159
Attorney for Cross-Defendant the United States Postal Service